

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 MARIO GONZALEZ, EDILZON DENNIS
8 GONZALEZ and JORGE GONZALEZ,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

11 CITY OF LAS VEGAS, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-00684-PMP-GWF

ORDER

13 This matter is before the Court on the parties' failure to file a proposed Stipulated
14 Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. The Amended Complaint (#10) in this matter was filed
15 December 15, 2010. Defendant City of Las Vegas filed its Answer (#14) February 9, 2011.
16 Pursuant to LR 26-1, the parties were required to meet and/or confer as required by Fed. R. Civ. P.
17 26(f) within 30 days after the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared, and 14 days
18 thereafter to file a mandatory stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order. To date, the parties
19 have not complied. Accordingly,

20 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties shall file a stipulated Discovery Plan and
21 Scheduling Order not later than **April 7, 2011** in compliance with the provisions of LR 26-1 of the
22 Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

23 DATED this 28th day of March, 2011.

24
25 
26 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28